

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,134	IVANS, NORMAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752	

All Participants:

(1) Dinh Q. Nguyen.

(2) Steven Roeder.

Status of Application: amendment after non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2006

Time: 5:45 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 12, 31, 36

Prior art documents discussed:

art of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

applicant agreed to add the language in the last paragraph of claim 1 to claims 12, 31, and 36 to clearly distinguish the invention.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)